UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,502 12/10/2004		Hidekuni Murakami	52433/781	1252
26646 KENYON & K	7590 02/22/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV NEW YORK, N		YEE, DEBORAH		
NEW TORK, I	N1 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,502	MURAKAMI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Deborah Yee	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<del></del>	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13.						
	/Deborah Yee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1793					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: JP'934 teaches a steel having nitride diameter and nitride distribution ranges that overlap those recited by the claims. Applicants pointed out that specific examples in table 2 of JP934 contain an average BN diameter ranging from 0.011 to 0.032 $\mu$ m, which are outside the claimed average BN diameter of 0.08  $\mu$ m or more. It is the Examiner's position that JP'934 still broadly teaches an average BN diameter of > 0.01 $\mu$ m and composite precipitates containing BN having an average diameter of 0.005 to 0.50  $\mu$ m that overlap and therefore suggest inventive claimed average BN diameter range of  $\geq$  0.08. To distinguish claims over prior art, Applicants will need to demonstrated (e.g. by comparative data) that  $\geq$ 0.08  $\mu$ m is somehow critical and productive of new and unexpected results. Note Test data in Table 3 of Applicants' specification discloses examples having an average grain diameter ranging from 0.02 to 0.06 $\mu$ m yet are listed as representative of the present invention and also exhibit properties similar to examples having a grain size diameter of  $\geq$  0.08  $\mu$ m. Hence claims would not patentably distinguish over JP'934.